

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,203	03/03/2004	Bernarr C. Schaeffer		4198	
7590 09/02/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph B. Taphorn 8 SENIC DRIVE; HAGAN FARMS POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603-5521			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3742		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/792,203	SCHAEFFER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonid M Fastovsky	3742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	3 March 2004.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-25 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). a) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum  application from the International Bu  See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7 and 9-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota (JP406063093) in view of Perlman (4,998,006).

Hirota teaches substantially the claimed invention comprising a compact sauna (Fig. 1-5) for causing a user to sweat, plural heat sources 2 are provided in the lower portion of the floor consisting of a far infrared radiator 1, and heat generated from the heat source 2 is subjected to electromagnetic radiation into a sauna room through the far infrared radiator 1 (Abstract). However Hirota does not disclose a low –level of extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields. Perlman discloses heating elements that can be used in heating panels where the device is brought into proximity with the human body. The element is conventionally powered by 60 Hertz, 120 volts alternating current that produced little or no external electromagnetic field and it would have been obvious to combine in order to reduce potential harmful effects of magnetic fields produced by room heating panels (Col. 1, lines 44-55 and Col. 10).

As for claims 9-20, Hirota in view of Perlman teaches a structure that inherently capable of use as set forth in claims 19-20 (See MPEP 2131.01).

Art Unit: 3742

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota in view of Perlman and further in view of Grise et al (4,485,297).

Hirota in view of Perlman teaches substantially the claimed invention, however they do not disclose that heaters are comprised of an electrically –insulating substrate. Grise discloses heaters having a a substrate 12 sealed between a pair of plastic sheets 23 and 24. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Hirota and Perlman to include plastic sheets being bonded well to create an electrically-insulating substrate as taught by Grise (Col. 3, lines 28-40).

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: 20020046422 (portable sauna), 5117481 (infrared bath), 5912811 (low frequency electric fields and method).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

lmf